



ICARUS

Integrated Climate forcing and Air pollution Reduction in Urban Systems

PAHs in fine particulate matter of six European cities: seasonal and spatial variations and implications for human health

Céline Degrendele1, Jana Klánová1, Gerhard Lammel1,2, Petr Kukučka1, Kyriaki Bairachtari3,Spyros Karakitsios4, Marianthi Kermenidou4, Tjaša Kanduč5, David Kocman5, Pilar Morillo Gómez6, David Galán Madruga6, Saul G. dos Santos6, Ulrich Vogt7, Thomas Maggos3, Denis Sarigiannis4,8,9

1RECETOX, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic 2Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany 3Environmental Research Laboratory, NCSR "Demokritos", Athens, Greece 4Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Department of Chemical Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece 5Department of Environmental Sciences, Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia 6Department of Atmospheric Pollution, National Center for Environment Health, Health Institute Carlos III, Madrid, Spain 7Institute of Combustion and Power Plant Technology, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany 8HERACLES Research Centre on the Exposome and Health, Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Innovation, Thessaloniki, Greece, 9University School of Advanced Study, Pavia, Italy

This project has received funding from the European Union's H2020 Framework Programme under grant agreement No - 690105





20th MESAEP Symposium - ICARUS session

Provide an integrated assessment of policy measures in order to decrease greenhouse gases emissions and improve air quality in selected European cities



Introduction – ICARUS sampling campaign

- 6 participating cities: Athens, Brno, Ljubljana, Madrid, Stuttgart and Thessaloniki
- For each city, one traffic site, one urban background site and one regional site
- Daily air samples were taken 30 days in winter and 30 days in summer at each of the site with high/low volume air sampler with PM2.5 inlet









- Provide novel atmospheric data on PAHs at each a traffic (T), an urban background (UB) and a rural (R) site collected in winter and summer 2017 at/near Athens (GR), Brno (CZ), Ljubljana (SLO), Madrid (ES), Stuttgart (DE) and Thessaloniki (GR)
- The seasonal and spatial variations of PAHs as well as their cancer risks from inhalation were investigated



	**** * * ****
H2020	-SC5-2015 - GA: 690105
	26-27/10/2020

		Winter	Summer	
	R	14	0	
Athens	Т	39	36	
	UB	37	36	
	R	8	8	
Brno	Т	30	30	
	UB	30	30	
	R	0	0	
Ljubljana	Т	30	27	
	UB	30	29	
	R	8	27	
Stuttgart	Т	24	31	
	UB	25	27	
These	R	22	28	
i nessaion	Т	31	27	
INI	UB	33	25	
Total		361	361 361	
			722	

- Extraction with (automatic) Soxhlet extractor
- Clean-up
- Analysis by GC-MS
- Target compounds





16 PAHs
naphthalene
acenaphthylene
acenaphthene
fluorene
phenanthrene
anthracene
fluoranthrene
pyrene
benz(a)anthracene
chrysene
benzo(b)fluoranthene
benzo(k)fluoranthene
benzo(a)pyrene
indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene
dibenzo(a,h)anthracene

benzo(ghi)perylene



20th MESAEP Symposium - ICARUS session



 The concentrations as well as the composition profile were comparable between the different laboratories involved







- Except for Thessaloniki, PAHs concentrations were significantly higher in winter
- Clear traffic to UB to rural gradient was only observed for Brno and Thessaloniki
- Athens had winter PAHs concentrations higher at the rural site (influence of wood burning)





20th MESAEP Symposium - ICARUS session



All cities, except Stuttgart faces BaP concentrations > 1 ng m⁻³ in winter



Results – Composition profiles of PAHs

20th MESAEP Symposium - ICARUS session





BAP

BPF

In general, differences in the composition profile of PAHs were more pronounced between the cities rather than between the type of sites

٠

 The PAHs composition profile of Athens, Ljubljana and Stuttgart were different in winter and summer

9





Method 1:

Incremental Lifetime Cancer risk = $1 - e^{-\left(\frac{IR \times EF \times ED \times ET}{BW \times AT}\right)IUR_i \times CPA_{Hi}}$

IR = Inhalation Rate (m³ day⁻¹), EF = Exposure Frequency (days year⁻¹), ED = Exposure Duration (year), ET = Exposure Time (day year⁻¹), BW = Body Weight (kg), AT = Averaging Time (days), *IUR_i* Inhalation Unit Risk (m³ ug⁻¹), C_{PAH,i} = the particulate concentration of PAHi (in ng m⁻³)

Over 70 years lifetime, **for an outdoor worker**

Method 2:

Excess Cancer risk = $\Sigma(C_{PAH,i} \times RPF_i) \times UR_{BaP}$

 $C_{PAH,i}$ = the particulate concentration of PAHi (in ng m⁻³), RPF_i = the relative potency factor of PAHi and UR_{BaP} = the unit risk of exposure to BaP

Over 70 years lifetime, all the time



Results – Cancer risks from inhalation

20th MESAEP Symposium - ICARUS session



Method 2:

Method 1:



• The same trends were observed but the estimated risks differed by up to 3 orders of magnitude





- This study provided an overview of PAHs in 5 European cities
- Strong variability in the spatial variations were observed between the cities
- Further work will focus on the identification of the drivers of PAH concentrations and composition profile for each site, city and season





- This project has received funding from the European Union's H2020 Framework Programme (ICARUS project) under grant agreement No – 690105
- This work was carried out with the support of the RECETOX (LM2015051) and ACTRIS (LM2015037) research infrastructures funded by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic and the European Structural and Investment Funds (CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_013/0001761 and CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_013/0001315).
- All colleagues who contributed to that research



Thank you for your attention. Any questions?



